

Covariant actions for $N = 1, D = 6$ Supergravity theories with chiral bosons

Gianguido Dall'Agata¹, Kurt Lechner² and Mario Tonin³

*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Padova,
and*

*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Padova,
Via F. Marzolo, 8, 35131 Padova, Italia*

Abstract

We show that the recently found covariant formulation for chiral p -forms in $2(p + 1)$ dimensions with p even, can be naturally extended to supersymmetric theories. We present the general method for writing covariant actions for chiral bosons and construct, in particular, in six dimensions covariant actions for one tensor supermultiplet, for pure supergravity and for supergravity coupled to an arbitrary number of tensor supermultiplets.

PACS: 04.65.+e; Keywords: Supergravity, six dimensions, chiral bosons

¹dallagata@pd.infn.it

²kurt.lechner@pd.infn.it

³mario.tonin@pd.infn.it

1 Introduction

Many models in D dimensions, with $D/2$ odd, contain chiral bosons i.e. p -form gauge fields (scalars in $D = 2$) with self-dual or anti-selfdual field strength. The description of the dynamics of such theories through Lorentz-invariant actions has been an unsolved problem for long time [1]. Previous attempts to face this problem were based on non manifestly covariant actions [2, 3] or on formulations involving an infinite set of auxiliary fields [4]. On the other hand Siegel's approach to two dimensional chiral bosons [5] admits only rather problematic extensions to $D > 2$ [22].

Recently a new manifestly Lorentz-invariant approach [6, 7, 8] for chiral p -forms in $D = 2(p+1)$ with p even has been proposed. This approach is based on a single auxiliary scalar field and allows to write actions which are manifestly invariant under Lorentz transformations and under two new bosonic local symmetries. The gauge fixing of these symmetries allows to remove the auxiliary field and to eliminate half of the physical degrees of freedom of the p -form so that its field strength becomes (ant)-selfdual in the free case, or satisfies a generalized selfduality condition in the interacting case. Moreover, this approach reproduces on one hand, through an appropriate gauge fixing, the non manifestly covariant approach of [3] and it is, on the other hand, related to the approach in [4] in that it provides a consistent truncation of its infinite set of auxiliary fields.

The new approach has been first introduced in [9] to reformulate the four-dimensional Maxwell theory without sources in such a way that its invariance under electric/magnetic duality and under Lorentz transformations is manifest; the coupling to electric and magnetic sources was performed in [11]. The approach allowed also to rewrite the heterotic string effective action of [12] in a form such that duality symmetry is manifest [10]. The method appeared also perfectly suitable [6, 7] for providing lagrangian formulations for theories with chiral p -forms. It has been used, in particular, to obtain a manifestly Lorentz invariant and κ -invariant action for the eleven-dimensional M -theory five-brane [8] and to obtain a new formulation for Green-Schwarz heterotic strings which involves chiral bosons instead of heterotic chiral fermions [13].

An equivalent non-manifestly covariant formulation of the M -theory five-brane has been given in [14].

This theory has also been worked out in [15] on the basis of a purely geometrical doubly supersymmetric approach which does, however, not furnish a Lagrangian formulation but only the equations of motion. Subsequently in ref. [16] it has been shown that this formulation, at the level of the field equations, is equivalent to the ones presented in [8] and [14].

The coupling of all these models with chiral bosons to gravity can be easily achieved since the approach is manifestly covariant under Lorentz transformations; as a consequence it is obvious that the two above mentioned bosonic symmetries, which are a crucial ingredient of the new approach, are compatible with diffeomorphism invariance.

Therefore, to establish the general validity of the approach, it remains to establish its compatibility with global and local supersymmetry. This is the aim of the present paper.

Chiral p -forms are, in fact, present in many supersymmetric and supergravity models in two, six and ten dimensions. The covariant action for the bosonic sector of $D = 10$, *IIB* supergravity has already been described in [17].

The problem we address is if one can deduce the dynamics of supersymmetric models with chiral bosons from supersymmetry invariant actions which respect also the new bosonic symmetries of the covariant approach. There is already some evidence that supersymmetry is compatible with the new bosonic symmetries in a rather natural way. Indeed, on one hand in refs. [9, 18] a four dimensional model has been considered where these bosonic symmetries and rigid supersymmetry are both simultaneously present: this was obtained by a simple modification of the supersymmetry transformations of the fermions, which vanishes on-shell. On the other hand, in the M -five-brane action invariance under κ -symmetry was achieved in a very natural way.

The present paper deals, in particular, with the problem of constructing covariant actions for supersymmetric and supergravity models with chiral bosons in *six* dimensions.

In six dimensions there are four kind of multiplets: the supergravity multiplet, the tensor multiplet, the vector multiplet and the hypermultiplet. The supergravity multiplet and the tensor multiplets contain a chiral two-form with anti-selfdual and selfdual field strength respectively. The on-shell supersymmetry transformation rules as well as the field equations for these supermultiplets are well known [19]. Moreover, in [21] the group-manifold action for $D = 6$ pure supergravity has been obtained. Usually the group manifold action, when restricted to ordinary space-time, gives rise to an action for the component fields which is invariant under supersymmetry. In the presence of chiral bosons, however, the component action obtained in this way fails to be susy invariant. Proposals for covariant actions for pure supergravity in $D = 6$ have been made in [22], which constitutes a generalization of Siegel's approach [5] from two to six dimensions, and in [23] where a harmonic superspace lagrangian approach is used, which involves, however, an infinite number of auxiliary fields. The same kind of problems arises also in $D = 10$, *IIB* supergravity which involves a four-form with selfdual field strength⁴

In the present paper we shall show that the new covariant approach for chiral p -forms allows to obtain in a natural and elegant way, covariant and supersymmetric actions for six dimensional models with chiral bosons which involve the supergravity multiplet and/or tensor multiplets. For completeness in section two we review the covariant approach for a chiral two-form in six dimensions. In section three we illustrate our technique for writing supersymmetric covariant actions with chiral bosons in the case of a single free tensor multiplet in flat space. This simple example appears rather instructive in that it exhibits

⁴Also for $D = 10$, *IIB* supergravity the on shell susy transformation rules and the field equations are well known [25] and a group manifold action exists [26]; an action based on the Siegel approach has been proposed in ref. [22].

all principal features of our technique. Along the lines of this example we construct in section four the action for pure $N = 1$, $D = 6$ supergravity and present in section five the action for the more general case of $N = 1$, $D = 6$ supergravity coupled to an arbitrary number, n , of tensor supermultiplets. The couplings of these multiplets with hypermultiplets and vector multiplets will be briefly discussed in the concluding section six.

The general strategy developed in this paper extends in a rather straightforward way to two and ten dimensions. Particularly interesting is the case of IIB , $D = 10$ supergravity whose covariant action we hope to present elsewhere.

2 Chiral bosons in six dimensions: the general method

In this section we present the method for a chiral boson in interaction with an external or dynamical gravitational field in six dimensions. To this order we introduce sechsbein one-forms $e^a = dx^m e_m{}^a(x)$. With $m, n = 0, \dots, 5$ we indicate curved indices and with $a, b = 0, \dots, 5$ we indicate tangent space indices, which are raised and lowered with the flat metric $\eta_{ab} = (1, -1, \dots, -1)$. We define the tangent space components of a generic p -forms, ϕ_p , according to

$$\phi_p = \frac{1}{p!} e^{a_1} \dots e^{a_p} \phi_{a_p \dots a_1}, \quad (2.1)$$

where the wedge product between forms here and in the following will always be understood.

To consider a slightly more general self-duality condition for interacting chiral bosons we introduce the two-form potential B and its generalized curvature three-form H as

$$H = dB + C \equiv \frac{1}{3!} e^a e^b e^c H_{cba},$$

where C is a three-form which depends on the fields to which B is coupled, such as the graviton, the gravitino and so on, but not on B itself. The free (anti)self-dual boson will be recovered for $C = 0$ and $e_m{}^a = \delta_m{}^a$.

The Hodge-dual of the three-form H is again a three-form H^* with components

$$H_{abc}^* = \frac{1}{3!} \varepsilon_{abcdef} H^{def}.$$

The self-dual and anti self-dual parts of H are defined respectively as the three-forms

$$H^\pm \equiv \frac{1}{2} (H \pm H^*).$$

The equations of motion for interacting chiral bosons in supersymmetric and supergravity theories, as we will see in the examples worked out in the next sections, are in general of the form

$$H^\pm = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

for a suitable three-form C whose explicit expression is usually determined by supersymmetry.

To write a covariant action which gives eventually rise to (2.2) we introduce as new ingredient the scalar auxiliary field $a(x)$ and the one-forms

$$u = da \equiv e^a u_a \quad (2.3)$$

$$v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-u^2}} u \equiv e^a v_a. \quad (2.4)$$

In particular we have $v_a = \frac{u_a}{\sqrt{-u^2}}$ and $v_a v^a = -1$. Using the vector v^a to the three-forms H, H^* and $H^\pm$ we can then associate two-forms h, h^* and $h^\pm$ according to

$$h_{ab} = v^c H_{abc}, \quad h = \frac{1}{2} e^a e^b h_{ba},$$

and similarly for h^* and $h^\pm$.

The action we search for can now be written equivalently in one of the following ways

$$\begin{aligned} S_0^\pm &= \pm \int \left(v h^\pm H + \frac{1}{2} dBC \right) \\ &= \pm \int \left(v h^\pm H + \frac{1}{2} HC \right) \\ &= \int d^6 x \sqrt{g} \left(\frac{1}{24} H_{abc} H^{abc} + \frac{1}{2} h_{ab}^\pm h^{\pm ab} \right) \pm \int \frac{1}{2} dBC \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \int d^6 x \sqrt{g} h_{ab}^* (h^{*ab} \pm h^{ab}) \pm \int \frac{1}{2} dBC. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

S_0^+ will describe anti self-dual bosons ($H^+ = 0$) and S_0^- self-dual bosons ($H^- = 0$). The last term, $\int dBC$, is of the Wess–Zumino type and is absent for free chiral bosons.

What selects this form of the action are essentially the local symmetries it possesses. Under a general variation of the fields B and a it varies, in fact, as

$$\delta S_0^\pm = \pm 2 \int \left(v h^\pm d\delta B + \frac{v}{\sqrt{-u^2}} h^\pm h^\pm d\delta a \right). \quad (2.6)$$

From this formula it is rather easy to see that $\delta S_0^\pm$ vanishes for the following three bosonic transformations, with transformation parameters Λ and ψ , which are one-forms, and φ which is a scalar:

$$\begin{aligned} I) \quad & \delta B = d\Lambda, \quad \delta a = 0 \\ II) \quad & \delta B = -\frac{2h^\pm}{\sqrt{-u^2}} \varphi, \quad \delta a = \varphi \\ III) \quad & \delta B = \psi da, \quad \delta a = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

The transformation $I)$ represents just the ordinary gauge invariance for abelian two-form gauge potentials. The symmetry $II)$ implies that $a(x)$ is an auxiliary field which

does, therefore, not correspond to a propagating degree of freedom⁵. Finally, the symmetry *III*) eliminates half of the propagating degrees of freedom carried by B and allows to reduce the second order equation of motion for this field to the desired first order equation, i.e. (2.2). To see this we note that the equations of motion for B and a , which can be read from (2.6), are given respectively by

$$d(vh^\pm) = 0 \quad (2.8)$$

$$d\left(\frac{v}{\sqrt{-u^2}}h^\pm h^\pm\right) = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

First of all it is straightforward to check that the a -equation is implied by the B -equation, as expected, while the general solution of the B -equation is given by

$$vh^\pm = \frac{1}{2}d\tilde{\psi}da, \quad (2.10)$$

for some one-form $\tilde{\psi}$. On the other hand under the transformation *III*) we have

$$\delta(vh^\pm) = \frac{1}{2}d\psi da$$

which is precisely of the same form as (2.10). Therefore we can use this symmetry to reduce the B -equation (2.8) to $vh^\pm = 0$ which amounts to $h^\pm = 0$, and this equation, in turn, can be easily seen to be equivalent to $H^\pm = 0$, which is the desired chirality condition.

This concludes the proof that the actions $S_0^\pm$ describe indeed correctly the propagation of chiral bosons.

In a theory in which the B field is coupled to other dynamical fields, for example in supergravity theories, we can now conclude that the complete action has to be of the form

$$S = S_0^\pm + S_6,$$

where S_6 contains the kinetic and interaction terms for the fields to which B is coupled. To maintain the symmetries *I*)–*III*) one has to assume that those fields are invariant under these transformations and, moreover, that S_6 is independent of the B and a fields themselves.

For more general chirality conditions describing self-interacting chiral bosons, like e.g. those of the Born–Infeld type, see ref. [7].

To conclude this section we introduce two three-form fields, $K^\pm$, which will play a central role in the next sections due to their remarkable properties. They are defined as

$$K^\pm \equiv H + 2vh^\mp \quad (2.11)$$

and are uniquely determined by the following peculiar properties:

⁵Notice however that, since the action becomes singular in the limit of a vanishing or constant $a(x)$, the gauge $da(x) = 0$ is not allowed.

- i) they are (anti) self-dual: $K^{\pm*} = \pm K^{\pm}$;
- ii) they reduce to $H^{\pm}$ respectively if $H^{\mp} = 0$;
- iii) they are invariant under the symmetries $I)$ and $III)$, and under $II)$ modulo the field equations (2.8).

These fields constitute therefore a kind of off-shell generalizations of $H^{\pm}$.

3 The action for a free $N = 1$, $D = 6$ tensor multiplet

In this section we illustrate the compatibility of the general method for chiral bosons with supersymmetry in a simple example i.e. the one involving only one free tensor supermultiplet in flat space-time in six dimensions. The strategy developed in this case admits natural extensions to more general cases as we will see in the next two sections.

An $N = 1$, $D = 6$ tensor supermultiplet is made out of an antisymmetric tensor $B_{[ab]}$, a symplectic Majorana-Weyl spinor $\lambda_{\alpha i}$ ($\alpha = 1, \dots, 4$; $i = 1, 2$) and a real scalar ϕ . For more details on our spinor conventions, see the appendix. The equations of motion for this multiplet and its on-shell susy transformation rules are well known. The scalar obeys the free Klein-Gordon equation, the spinor the free Dirac equation and the B -field the self-duality condition

$$H^- = 0,$$

where $H = dB$, which means that in this case we have $C = 0$.

The on-shell supersymmetry transformations, with rigid transformation parameter $\xi^{\alpha i}$, are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\xi}\phi &= \xi^i \lambda_i, \\ \delta_{\xi}\lambda_i &= \left(\Gamma^a \partial_a \phi + \frac{1}{12} \Gamma^{abc} H_{abc}^+ \right) \xi_i, \\ \delta_{\xi}B_{ab} &= -\xi^i \Gamma_{ab} \lambda_i. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

The $USp(1)$ indices i, j are raised and lowered according to $K_i = \varepsilon_{ij} K^j$, $K^i = -\varepsilon^{ij} K_j$, where $\varepsilon_{12} = \varepsilon^{12} = -\varepsilon_{21} = -\varepsilon^{21} = 1$, [24].

Since the equations of motion are free our ansatz for the action, which depends now also on the auxiliary field a , is

$$S = S_0^- + S_6 = - \int v h^- H + \frac{1}{2} \int d^6 x \left(\lambda^i \Gamma^a \partial_a \lambda_i + \partial_a \phi \partial^a \phi \right). \tag{3.2}$$

This action is invariant under the symmetries $I)$ – $III)$ if we assume that ϕ and λ are invariant under these transformations.

For what concerns supersymmetry we choose first of all the transformation for a

$$\delta_{\xi} a = 0,$$

which is motivated by the fact that a is non propagating and does therefore not need a supersymmetric partner. Next we should find the off-shell generalizations of (3.1). For dimensional reasons only $\delta_\xi \lambda$ allows for such an extension. To find it we compute the susy variation of S_0^- , which depends only on B and a , as

$$\delta_\xi S_0^- = -2 \int v h^- d\delta_\xi B = - \int K^+ d\delta_\xi B$$

in which the self-dual field K^+ , defined in the previous section, appears automatically. Since $\delta_\xi S_0^-$ should be cancelled by δS_6 this suggests to define the off-shell susy transformation of λ by making the simple replacement $H^+ \rightarrow K^+$, i.e.

$$\delta_\xi \lambda_i \rightarrow \bar{\delta}_\xi \lambda_i = \left(\Gamma^a \partial_a \phi + \frac{1}{12} \Gamma^{abc} K_{abc}^+ \right) \xi_i.$$

With this modification it is now a simple exercise to show that the action (3.2) is indeed invariant under supersymmetry. The relative coefficients of the terms in the action are actually fixed by supersymmetry.

The general rules for writing covariant actions for supersymmetric theories with chiral bosons, which emerge from this simple example, are the following. First one has to determine the on-shell susy transformations of the fields and their equations of motion, in particular one has to determine the form of the three-form C . The off-shell extensions of the susy transformation laws are obtained by substituting in the transformations of the fermions $H^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm$. The action has then to be written as $S_0^\pm + S_6$ where the relative coefficients of the various terms in S_6 have to be determined by susy invariance. The field a , finally, is required to be supersymmetry invariant.

An essential step in this procedure is the determination of the susy transformation laws and equations of motion for the fields. This can generally be done most conveniently using superspace techniques, especially in the case of supergravity theories, like the ones in the subsequent sections. Here we illustrate the procedure by rephrasing the results in (3.1) in a (flat) superspace language. We follow here the superspace conventions of ref. [24] to which we refer the reader for more details on our notations.

One introduces the supervielbeins $e^A = (e^a, e^{\alpha i} = d\vartheta^{\alpha i})$, which are one-superforms, and the three-superform $A = \hat{d}B$ where now B is a two-superform and $\hat{d} = e^a \partial_a + e^{\alpha i} D_{\alpha i}$ is the superspace differential. The superspace torsion is the one-form $T^A = \hat{d}e^A$ and a generic p -superform allows a decomposition analogous to (2.1) with $e^a \rightarrow e^A$.

Then one imposes the rigid superspace constraints

$$T^a = -e^i \Gamma^a e_i, \quad (3.3)$$

$$T^{\alpha i} = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

$$A_{\alpha i \beta j \gamma k} = 0, \quad (3.5)$$

$$A_{a \alpha i \beta j} = -2\phi \varepsilon_{ij} (\Gamma_a)_{\alpha \beta} \quad (3.6)$$

and solves the Bianchi identity

$$\hat{d}A = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

The solution gives

$$\hat{d}B = A = \frac{1}{3!}e^ae^be^cH_{cba} - (e^i\Gamma_a e_i) e^a\phi - \frac{1}{2}e^be^a(e^i\Gamma_{ab}\lambda_i) \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\alpha i} &= D_{\alpha i}\phi, \\ D_{\alpha i}\lambda_{\beta j} &= \varepsilon_{ij} \left(\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^a \partial_a \phi - \frac{1}{12}(\Gamma^{abc})_{\alpha\beta} H_{abc}^+ \right), \\ D_{\alpha i}H_{abc} &= -3(\Gamma_{[ab})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \partial_{c]}\lambda_{\beta i}, \\ H_{abc}^- &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

The lowest components (obtained at $\vartheta = d\vartheta = 0$) of the superfields ϕ , $\lambda_{\alpha i}$ and B are respectively the scalar, spinor and tensor component fields of the supermultiplet. Notice also that the Bianchi identity (3.7) forces the tensor B to be on-shell. In fact, taking the $\vartheta = d\vartheta = 0$ component of (3.8) the last two terms go to zero and the last equation in (3.9) becomes simply $H^- = 0$, where now $H \equiv dB$ in ordinary space; here we retrieve the result $C = 0$.

In superspace formalism the supersymmetry transformation of a superfield is given by its Lie derivative along the vector field $\xi = \xi^{\alpha i} D_{\alpha i}$. The transformation of the component fields is just obtained by performing the superspace Lie derivative and setting finally $\vartheta = d\vartheta = 0$. Using this one can read the transformations (3.1) directly from the spinorial derivatives given in (3.9).

4 The action for pure $N = 1$, $D = 6$ supergravity

The supergravity multiplet in six dimensions contains the graviton, a gravitino and an antisymmetric tensor with anti-selfdual (generalized) field strength. The graviton is described by the vector-like vielbein $e^a = dx^m e_m{}^a$, the gravitino by the spinor-like one-form $e^{\alpha i} = dx^m e_m{}^{\alpha i}$ and the tensor by the two-form B . We introduce also the Lorentz connection one-form $\omega^{ab} = dx^m \omega_m{}^{ab}$ in order to implement a first order formalism. As outlined at the end of the previous section we promote these forms to superforms and define super-torsions and super-curvatures as

$$\begin{aligned} T^a &\equiv De^a = \hat{d}e^a + e^b \omega_b{}^a, \\ T^{\alpha i} &\equiv De^{\alpha i} = \hat{d}e^{\alpha i} + \frac{1}{4}e^{\beta j}(\Gamma_{ab})_{\beta}{}^{\alpha} \omega^{ab}, \\ R^{ab} &\equiv \hat{d}\omega^{ab} + \omega^{ac}\omega_c{}^b, \\ A &\equiv \hat{d}B. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

The standard constraints read now

$$T_{\alpha i \beta j}{}^a = -2\varepsilon_{ij}\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^a \quad (4.2)$$

$$T_{ab}{}^c = 0 \quad (4.3)$$

$$A_{\alpha i \beta j \gamma k} = 0 \quad (4.4)$$

$$A_{a \alpha i \beta j} = -2\varepsilon_{ij}(\Gamma_a)_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (4.5)$$

and the solution of the relevant superspace Bianchi identities leads to the following parametrizations of these torsions and curvatures:

$$T^a = -e^i \Gamma^a e_i, \quad (4.6)$$

$$T^{\alpha i} = \frac{1}{8} e^{\beta i} e^a (\Gamma^{bc})_{\beta}{}^{\alpha} H_{abc}^- + \frac{1}{2} e^a e^b T_{ba}{}^{\alpha i}, \quad (4.7)$$

$$R_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} e^i \Gamma^c e_i H_{abc}^- - e^{\alpha i} e^c [(\Gamma_c)_{\alpha\beta} T_{ab i}{}^{\beta} - 2(\Gamma_{[a})_{\alpha\beta} T_{b]c i}{}^{\beta}] + \frac{1}{2} e^d e^c R_{cdab}, \quad (4.8)$$

$$\hat{d}B = A = \frac{1}{3!} e^a e^b e^c H_{cba} - (e^i \Gamma_a e_i) e^a. \quad (4.9)$$

The constraint of a vanishing purely bosonic torsion T_{abc} is, in general, conventional in that, through a redefinition of the connection, it can be set equal to any tensor. Sometimes it is more convenient, in fact, to have $T_{abc} \neq 0$, see e.g. [24]. In the present case, however, having $T_{abc} = 0$ constrains $\omega_m{}^{ab}$ to be the usual super-covariant connection which depends only on the graviton and the gravitino; it has, in particular, no spurious dependence on B . This implies, in turn, that the covariant derivatives are automatically invariant under the bosonic transformations I – III) and, to maintain those symmetries, one has to avoid in S_6 only the explicit appearance of B .

The A –Bianchi identity implies, in particular, also the B –equation of motion

$$H_{abc}^+ = 0.$$

Due to (4.9) this implies, in ordinary space–time, $H^+ = 0$, where now

$$H = dB + (e^i \Gamma_a e_i) e^a = \frac{1}{3!} e^a e^b e^c H_{cba}.$$

This means that in this case the three–form C is non vanishing being given by

$$C = (e^i \Gamma_a e_i) e^a. \quad (4.10)$$

The supersymmetry transformations of e^a , $e^{\alpha i}$, ω^{ab} and B can be obtained as (covariant) Lie derivatives of these forms along the local superspace vector $\xi(x) = \xi^{\alpha i}(x) D_{\alpha i}$ and, therefore, they can be read directly from (4.6)–(4.9):

$$\delta_{\xi} e^a = i_{\xi} T^a = -2\xi^i \Gamma^a e_i, \quad (4.11)$$

$$\delta_{\xi} e^{\alpha i} = D\xi^{\alpha i} + i_{\xi} T^{\alpha i} = D\xi^{\alpha i} - \frac{1}{8} \xi^{\beta i} e^a (\Gamma^{bc})_{\beta}{}^{\alpha} K_{abc}^-, \quad (4.12)$$

$$\delta_{\xi} \omega_{ab} = i_{\xi} R_{ab} = \xi^i \Gamma^c e_i K_{cab}^- - \xi^{\alpha i} e^c \left((\Gamma_c)_{\alpha\beta} T_{ab i}{}^{\beta} - 2(\Gamma_{[a})_{\alpha\beta} T_{b]c i}{}^{\beta} \right), \quad (4.13)$$

$$\delta_{\xi} B = i_{\xi} A = -2(\xi^i \Gamma_a e_i) e^a, \quad (4.14)$$

$$\delta_{\xi} a = 0. \quad (4.15)$$

In these relations, according to our general rule, we made already the replacements $H_{abc}^- \rightarrow K_{abc}^-$ – which occur, in fact, only in the gravitino transformation in that the connection ω_{ab} is not an independent field but depends on the graviton and the gravitino – and we added the trivial transformation law for the auxiliary field a .

As it stands, this trivial transformation law does not seem to preserve the susy algebra in that the commutator of two supersymmetries does not amount to a translation. On the other hand it is known that the supersymmetry algebra closes on the other symmetries of a theory; in the present case it is easily seen that the anticommutator of two susy transformations on the a field closes on the bosonic transformations II). It is also interesting to observe that the new terms in the susy transformations of the gravitino are exactly the ones that ensure the closure of the total symmetry algebra on the B -field, and again susy closes on the transformations II)⁶.

The covariant action for pure $N = 1$, $D = 6$ supergravity can now be written as

$$S = S_0^+ + \int L_6 \quad (4.16)$$

$$S_0^+ = \int \left(v h^+ H + \frac{1}{2} dBC \right) \quad (4.17)$$

$$L_6 = \frac{1}{48} \varepsilon_{a_1 \dots a_6} e^{a_1} e^{a_2} e^{a_3} e^{a_4} R^{a_5 a_6} - \frac{1}{3} e^{a_1} e^{a_2} e^{a_3} (D e^i \Gamma_{a_1 a_2 a_3} e_i). \quad (4.18)$$

The three-form C is given in eq. (4.10) and for convenience we wrote the term S_6 as an integral of a six-form, L_6 . This six-form contains just the Einstein term, relative to the super-covariantized spin connection, and the kinetic term for the gravitino. The relative coefficients are fixed by susy invariance, see below. In this case S_0^+ contains also the couplings of B to the gravitino and the graviton.

This action is invariant under the symmetries I)– III) because L_6 does not contain B and we assume the graviton and the gravitino to be invariant under those transformations.

The evaluation of the supersymmetry variation of S is now a merely technical point. The variation of $\int(vh^+H)$ has to be computed "by hand" while the variation of the remaining terms, which are forms, is most conveniently evaluated by lifting them to superspace, performing their superspace differential and taking the interior product with the vector ξ . The results are

$$\delta_\xi S_0^+ = \int \left(i_\xi R + \frac{1}{2} (\xi^i \Gamma^a e_i) e^b e^c K_{cba}^- \right) K^- - \frac{1}{2} \int i_\xi (RC) \quad (4.19)$$

$$\delta_\xi \int L_6 = \int i_\xi \hat{d}L_6 = \int i_\xi \left(\frac{1}{2} RC - \frac{1}{3} e^a e^b e^c (T^i \Gamma_{abc} T_i) \right). \quad (4.20)$$

Here we defined

$$R = \hat{d}C = 2(T^i \Gamma_a e_i) e^a,$$

and the parametrization of $T^i = D e^i$ is given in (4.7) (with $H_{abc}^- \rightarrow K_{abc}^-$).

⁶We thank D.Sorokin for these remarks.

We see that the susy variation of S_0^+ depends on B only through the combination K^- , justifying again our rule for the modified susy transformation rules for the fermions.

The susy variation of the total action becomes then

$$\delta_\xi S = \int \left(i_\xi R + \frac{1}{2} (\xi^i \Gamma^a e_i) e^b e^c K_{cba}^- \right) K^- - \frac{2}{3} e^a e^b e^c (i_\xi T^i) \Gamma_{abc} T_i$$

and is easily seen to vanish using the expression for $i_\xi T^i$ given in (4.12).

5 $N = 1$ supergravity coupled to n tensor multiplets

As last example we consider the case in which the supergravity multiplet is coupled to an arbitrary number n of tensor multiplets. This situation arises, for example, in M -theory compactified on $(K_3 \times S^1)/Z_2$ [20] and, until now, for this system a covariant lagrangian formulation did not exist. The purpose of this section is to fill this gap. For simplicity we will disregard vector and hypermultiplets.

The field equations for supergravity coupled to an arbitrary number of tensor multiplets have been obtained in [19]. For a recent account see ref. [28], where this system has been generalized to include hypermultiplets and vector multiplets, see also [27]. For what concerns the geometrical aspects involved in this system we will follow basically the notations of [28].

The supergravity multiplet is described as before by the vielbein one-form e^a , the gravitino one-form $e^{\alpha i}$ and by the two-form B . The n tensor supermultiplets are composed by n two-forms $B^{\underline{m}}$, n symplectic Majorana–Weyl spinors $\lambda_{\alpha i}^{\underline{m}}$ and by n scalars $\phi_{\underline{\alpha}}^{\underline{m}}$ ($\underline{\alpha} = 1, \dots, n$) which parametrize as local coordinates the coset space $SO(1, n)/SO(n)$. The indices $\underline{m} = (1, \dots, n)$ span the fundamental representation of $SO(n)$. The two-form of the supergravity multiplet and the n two-forms of the tensor multiplets are collectively denoted by B^I , where the indices $I, J = (0, 1, \dots, n)$ span the fundamental representation of $SO(1, n)$.

A convenient way to parametrize the coset geometry is to introduce the $SO(1, n)$ group element $L(\phi) \equiv (L^I, L_{\underline{m}}^I)$ and its inverse $L^{-1}(\phi) \equiv (L_I, L_I^{\underline{m}})$, which are local functions on the coset space and obey

$$L^I L_I = 1, \quad L_{\underline{m}}^I L_I = 0 = L^I L_I^{\underline{m}}, \quad L_{\underline{m}}^I L_I^{\underline{n}} = \delta_{\underline{m}}^{\underline{n}}. \quad (5.1)$$

The coset connection $A_{\underline{\alpha}}^{\underline{m}}(\phi)$ and the coset vielbein $V_{\underline{\alpha}}^{\underline{m}}(\phi)$ are defined by

$$D_{\underline{\alpha}} L_I^{\underline{m}} \equiv \partial_{\underline{\alpha}} L_I^{\underline{m}} + A_{\underline{\alpha}}^{\underline{m}} L_I^{\underline{n}} = V_{\underline{\alpha}}^{\underline{m}} L_I, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\partial_{\underline{\alpha}} L_I = V_{\underline{\alpha}}^{\underline{m}} L_{I \underline{m}}. \quad (5.3)$$

These relations imply also that

$$\eta_{IJ} = -L_I L_J + L_I^{\underline{m}} L_{J \underline{m}} \quad (5.4)$$

is the constant Minkowski metric of $SO(1, n)$. It is also convenient to define the matrix

$$G_{IJ}(\phi) = L_I L_J + L_I^{\underline{m}} L_{J\underline{m}} \quad (5.5)$$

which is a local function on the coset.

The above relations imply, in particular, that the coset manifold is a maximally symmetric space with constant negative curvature. This property of the manifold ensures, ultimately, the supersymmetry of the equations of motion and the closure of the susy algebra [28].

It is also convenient to introduce for any $SO(1, n)$ vector W^I its components $W = L_I W^I$ and $W^{\underline{m}} = L_I^{\underline{m}} W^I$.

The equations of motion and susy transformations can now be derived through the superspace techniques outlined in the previous sections. In addition to the super-torsion we introduce $n + 1$ two-superforms B^I and their supercurvatures $A^I = \hat{d}B^I$ and impose the constraints

$$T_{\alpha i \beta j}{}^a = -2\varepsilon_{ij} \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^a \quad (5.6)$$

$$T_{ab}{}^c = 0 \quad (5.7)$$

$$A_{\alpha i \beta j \gamma k}^I = 0 \quad (5.8)$$

$$A_{a\alpha i \beta j}^I = -2\varepsilon_{ij} L^I (\Gamma_a)_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (5.9)$$

The solution of the torsion Bianchi identities and of $\hat{d}A^I = 0$ leads now to the parametrizations

$$T^a = -e^i \Gamma^a e_i, \quad (5.10)$$

$$T^{\alpha i} = e^{\beta j} e^a \left(3\delta_{\beta}{}^{\alpha} V_{aj}{}^i + (\Gamma_{ab})_{\beta}{}^{\alpha} V^b{}_j{}^i + \frac{1}{8} \delta_j{}^i (\Gamma^{bc})_{\beta}{}^{\alpha} A_{abc}^- \right) + \frac{1}{2} e^a e^b T_{ba}{}^{\alpha i}, \quad (5.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ab} &= \frac{1}{2} e^{\alpha i} e^{\beta j} \left(\varepsilon_{ij} (\Gamma^c)_{\alpha\beta} A_{cab}^- - 4(\Gamma_{abc})_{\alpha\beta} V_{ij}^c \right) \\ &\quad - e^{\alpha i} e^c \left((\Gamma_c)_{\alpha\beta} T_{abi}{}^{\beta} - 2(\Gamma_{[a})_{\alpha\beta} T_{b]ci}{}^{\beta} \right) + \frac{1}{2} e^d e^c R_{cdab}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

$$\hat{d}B^I = A^I = \frac{1}{3!} e^a e^b e^c A_{cba}^I - (e^i \Gamma_a e_i) e^a L^I + \frac{1}{2} e^b e^a e^i (\Gamma_{ab}) \lambda_i^{\underline{m}} L_{\underline{m}}^I, \quad (5.13)$$

$$D\phi^{\underline{\alpha}} = e^i \lambda_i^{\underline{m}} V_{\underline{m}}{}^{\underline{\alpha}} + e^a D_a \phi^{\underline{\alpha}}, \quad (5.14)$$

$$D\lambda_{\alpha i}^{\underline{m}} = -e_i^{\beta} \left((\Gamma^a)_{\beta\alpha} D_a \phi^{\underline{\alpha}} V_{\underline{\alpha}}{}^{\underline{m}} + \frac{1}{12} (\Gamma^{abc})_{\alpha\beta} A_{abc}^{\underline{m}+} \right) + e^a D_a \lambda_{\alpha i}^{\underline{m}}. \quad (5.15)$$

Here the n fermions in the tensor multiplet are represented by the superfields $\lambda_{\alpha i}^{\underline{m}} = D_{\alpha i} \phi^{\underline{\alpha}} V_{\underline{\alpha}}^{\underline{m}}$ and we defined

$$V_{ij}^a = -\frac{1}{16} \lambda_i^{\underline{m}} \Gamma^a \lambda_{j\underline{m}}. \quad (5.16)$$

According to our convention above we have also $A_{abc} = L_I A_{abc}^I$ and $A_{abc}^{\underline{m}} = L_I^{\underline{m}} A_{abc}^I$.

Most importantly, the closure of the susy algebra imposes also the (anti)-selfduality equations of motion

$$A_{abc}^{m-} = 0 \quad (5.17)$$

$$A_{abc}^+ = \frac{1}{8} \lambda_{\underline{m}}^i (\Gamma_{abc}) \lambda_i^{\underline{m}}. \quad (5.18)$$

Due to (5.13) these equations amount to

$$H^{m-} = 0 \quad (5.19)$$

$$H^+ = 0, \quad (5.20)$$

where the generalized curvatures H^I are defined, now in ordinary space, as

$$H^I = dB^I + C^I, \quad (5.21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} C^I &= \left((e^i \Gamma_a e_i) e^a - \frac{1}{48} e^a e^b e^c (\lambda_{\underline{m}}^i \Gamma_{cba} \lambda_i^{\underline{m}}) \right) L^I - \frac{1}{2} e^a e^b (e^i \Gamma_{ba} \lambda_i^{\underline{m}}) L_{\underline{m}}^I \\ &\equiv CL^I + C^{\underline{m}} L_{\underline{m}}^I. \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

Introducing now again a single auxiliary field a and the related vector v^a as in section two, we define the $n+1$ two-forms h^I , which replace the two-forms $h^\pm$ introduced in the preceding sections, as

$$h^I = \frac{1}{2} e^a e^b h_{ba}^I \quad (5.23)$$

$$h_{ba}^I = \frac{1}{2} v^c \left(H_{cba}^I - \eta^{IK} G_{KJ} H_{cba}^{J*} \right) \quad (5.24)$$

$$= v^c \left(L^I H_{cba}^+ + L_{\underline{m}}^I H_{cba}^{\underline{m}-} \right). \quad (5.25)$$

The three-forms K^I , corresponding to $K^\pm$, are then given by

$$K^I = H^I + 2v h^I.$$

Their components along L_I and $L_{\underline{m}}^I$ satisfy identically the (anti)-selfduality conditions

$$K^* = -K, \quad K^{\underline{m}*} = K^{\underline{m}}$$

and reduce respectively to H^- and $H^{\underline{m}+}$ if the (anti)-selfduality conditions (5.19) and (5.20) are satisfied. The off-shell susy transformations are now obtained from (5.10)–(5.15) in the usual way with the replacements

$$A_{abc}^- \rightarrow K_{abc}, \quad A_{abc}^{\underline{m}+} \rightarrow K_{abc}^{\underline{m}}. \quad (5.26)$$

The covariant action for this system can now be written again in the form

$$S = S_0 + \int L_6 \quad (5.27)$$

where

$$S_0 = - \int \eta_{IJ} \left(v h^I H^J + \frac{1}{2} dB^I C^J \right) \quad (5.28)$$

$$= \int \left(v h H + \frac{1}{2} H C \right) - \left(v h_{\underline{m}} H^{\underline{m}} + \frac{1}{2} H_{\underline{m}} C^{\underline{m}} \right) \quad (5.29)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L_6 &= \frac{1}{48} \varepsilon_{a_1 \dots a_6} e^{a_1} e^{a_2} e^{a_3} e^{a_4} R^{a_5 a_6} - \frac{1}{3} e^{a_1} e^{a_2} e^{a_3} (D e^i \Gamma_{a_1 a_2 a_3} e_i) + \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \frac{\varepsilon_{a_1 \dots a_6}}{5!} e^{a_1} \dots e^{a_5} (\lambda_{\underline{m}}^i \Gamma^{a_6} D \lambda_i^{\underline{m}}) + \\ &+ \varepsilon_{a_1 \dots a_6} \left(\frac{e^{a_1} \dots e^{a_6}}{6!} \frac{1}{2} Q_{b\alpha} Q^{b\alpha} - \frac{e^{a_1} \dots e^{a_5}}{5!} (D \phi_{\underline{\alpha}}^{\alpha} - e^i \lambda_i^{\underline{m}} V_{\underline{m}}^{\alpha}) Q_{\underline{\alpha}}^{a_6} \right) + \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \frac{\varepsilon_{a_1 \dots a_6}}{4!} e^{a_1} \dots e^{a_4} (e^i \Gamma^{a_5 a_6} \lambda_{i\underline{m}}) (D \phi_{\underline{\alpha}}^{\alpha} V_{\underline{m}}^{\alpha} - e^j \lambda_j^{\underline{m}}) + \\ &- 16 \frac{\varepsilon_{a_1 \dots a_6}}{6!} e^{a_1} \dots e^{a_6} V_{bij} V^{bij} - \frac{1}{3} e^{a_1} \dots e^{a_4} (e^i \Gamma_{a_1 a_2 a_3} e^j) V_{a_4 ij}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.30)$$

In L_6 there are no quartic terms in the gravitino because the unique term which respects all the symmetries would be $T^a T^b E_a E_b$ and this vanishes due to the cyclic gamma matrix identity in six dimensions. The relative coefficients of the various terms are fixed by susy, see below. The field $Q_{b\alpha}$ is an auxiliary field which has been introduced in order to write L_6 as a six-form. Its equation of motion gives $e^b Q_b^{\alpha} = D \phi_{\underline{\alpha}}^{\alpha} - e^i \lambda_i^{\underline{m}} V_{\underline{m}}^{\alpha}$ and, upon substituting this back in $\int L_6$, one obtains the usual super-covariantized kinetic term for the scalars in the tensor multiplet.

Since under a generic variation of B^I and a one has

$$\delta S_0 = -2 \int \eta_{IJ} \left(v h^I d\delta B^J + \frac{v}{\sqrt{-u^2}} h^I h^J d\delta a \right), \quad (5.31)$$

S_0 is now evidently invariant under the bosonic symmetries I)– III) which in the present case take the form

$$I) \quad \delta B^I = d\Lambda^I, \quad \delta a = 0 \quad (5.32)$$

$$II) \quad \delta B^I = -\frac{2h^I}{\sqrt{-u^2}} \varphi, \quad \delta a = \varphi \quad (5.33)$$

$$III) \quad \delta B^I = \psi^I da, \quad \delta a = 0. \quad (5.34)$$

Under these transformations $\int L_6$ is trivially invariant.

From (5.31) one sees that the equations of motion for B^I become in this case $d(vh^I) = 0$ which, by fixing the symmetries III), can be reduced to $h^I = 0$ and these last equations correspond just to (5.19) and (5.20) (see (5.25)). The a -equation of motion is again a consequence of the B^I -equations.

The supersymmetry variation of the action can be computed as in the previous section:

$$\delta_{\xi} S_0 = - \int \eta_{IJ} \left(i_{\xi} R^I + \frac{1}{2} (\xi^i \Gamma^a e_i) e^b e^c K_{cba}^I \right) K^J +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int i_\xi (\eta_{IJ} R^I C^J) + (\xi^i \lambda_i^{\underline{m}}) K K_{\underline{m}}, \quad (5.35)$$

$$\delta_\xi \int L_6 = \int i_\xi \hat{d}L_6. \quad (5.36)$$

Here we defined the four-forms

$$R^I = \hat{d}C^I.$$

The variation of S_0 depends on B^I again only through K^I and, with respect to the expression found in (4.19), there is an additional term, proportional to $\lambda_{\underline{m}}$, which comes from the self-interactions of the tensor multiplet. The action S_0 depends on the geometry of the coset manifold, in fact, only through the matrix G_{IJ} (see eqs. (5.23),(5.24)). Since

$$\hat{d}G_{IJ} = 2D\phi^\alpha V_{\alpha\bar{m}}(L_I^{\bar{m}} L_J + L_I L_J^{\bar{m}}) \quad (5.37)$$

we have

$$\delta_\xi G_{IJ} = 2\xi^i \lambda_i^{\underline{m}} (L_{I\underline{m}} L_J + L_I L_{J\underline{m}}) \quad (5.38)$$

and this leads to the additional term in $\delta_\xi S_0$.

The explicit expressions for $R^I = \hat{d}C^I$ and $\hat{d}L_6$ can be obtained using (5.10)–(5.15), with the replacements (5.26), and with a long but straightforward calculation one can show that $\delta_\xi S$ indeed vanishes. The explicit expression for R^I can be found in the appendix.

6 Concluding remarks

In this paper we analyzed, in the framework of the approach of [6, 7, 8], supersymmetric and supergravity models with chiral bosons in six dimensions, and, outlining a general procedure, we wrote covariant and supersymmetric actions for some of these models.

All these actions have the structure of (2.5) and are invariant under the bosonic symmetries I)– III), typical of the approach of [6]–[10], as well as under (modified) supersymmetry transformations. Our general recipe is that these modified transformations are obtained from the standard ones in a natural and universal way by simply replacing the (anti)–selfdual field strength tensors $H_{abc}^{(\pm)}$, which arise in the standard supersymmetry transformations of the fermions, with the special tensors $K_{abc}^{(\pm)}$.

We treated in detail the case of a free tensor supermultiplet, pure $N = 1$ supergravity and $N = 1$ supergravity coupled with n tensor supermultiplets. More general models containing also hypermultiplets and vector multiplets have not been considered explicitly in this paper. The inclusion of an arbitrary number of hypermultiplets does not present any conceptual new difficulty; we did not consider them here only for the sake of simplicity.

The same holds for the inclusion of Yang–Mills supermultiplets [27] a part from the following important observation. In this case, in fact, the tensor multiplets can be coupled to a certain number of Lie–algebra valued Yang–Mills fields A_k , with curvatures $F_k =$

$dA_k + A_k A_k$, where $k = 1, \dots, N_{YM}$ and N_{YM} is the number of factor gauge groups. The couplings are realized in a standard way by defining the generalized curvatures now as

$$H^I = dB^I + \tilde{C}^I + c_k^I \omega_{YM}(A^k), \quad (6.1)$$

where $d\omega_{YM}(A_k) = \text{tr}(F^k F^k)$ defines the usual Chern–Simons three–forms, one for each factor group, and $\tilde{C}^I$ is given by C^I in (5.22) augmented by a term proportional to the gluino bi–linears, see e.g. [28]. The c_k^I form a *constant* matrix, which weighs the couplings of the various Chern–Simons terms to the tensor multiplets, and in (6.1) a sum over k is understood. The (self)–duality equations of motion for these H^I are then again given by (5.19),(5.20).

The appearance of the Chern–Simons terms leads then in the action S_0 in (5.28) to a contribution given by

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int \eta_{IJ} dB^I c_k^J \omega_{YM}(A^k) \quad (6.2)$$

which, in general, is not gauge invariant its variation leading to

$$\delta_{YM} S_0 = \frac{1}{2} \int \eta_{IJ} c_k^I c_l^J \text{tr}(\lambda^l dA^l) \text{tr}(F^k F^k), \quad (6.3)$$

where the λ^l are the Yang–Mills transformation parameters. For cohomological reasons this would then imply that the action necessarily breaks also supersymmetry.

To save gauge invariance, and also supersymmetry, one has to impose that the constants which weigh the various Chern–Simons terms in (6.1) have to be constrained by

$$\eta_{IJ} c_k^I c_l^J = 0. \quad (6.4)$$

If this relation is not satisfied one has a set of supersymmetric and gauge invariant equations of motion which are non integrable, in the sense that they can not be deduced from an action. In such a situation conservation of energy–momentum and of the Yang–Mills currents is not guaranteed. In ref. [28] it was, indeed, found that the Yang–Mills currents are conserved if and only if the constraint (6.4) holds. It can also be seen that, if this constraint holds, then the Yang–Mills equation derived from our covariant Lagrangian coincides with the one given in [28] upon fixing the symmetry *III*) according to $h^I = 0$.

This situation is not new. It was, in particular, noticed in [24] that in the case of tensor multiplets coupled in flat six–dimensional space–time to Yang–Mills supermultiplets through Chern–Simons terms one obtains a set of supersymmetric and gauge invariant equations of motion which do, however, not admit an action. This system represents, in some sense, a limiting case in which the supergravity multiplet decouples. In this ”limit”, however, the geometry of the n tensor multiplets becomes trivial and the constraint (6.4) would reduce to $\delta_{IJ} c_k^I c_l^J = 0$, where now $I, J = (1, \dots, n)$, whose unique solution is $c_k^I = 0$. This means that the flat tensor–Yang–Mills system is consistent only in the absence of Chern–Simons couplings, i.e. when both systems are free, in which case the action becomes simply a sum of n terms like the ones given in (3.2) plus the free super–Yang–Mills action.

In the case when the supergravity multiplet is present the meaning of the constraint (6.4) can be understood as follows. Its general solution is in fact

$$c_k^I = \alpha_k c^I,$$

where the α_k are N_{YM} arbitrary but non vanishing constants and c^I is an $SO(1, n)$ null vector,

$$\eta_{IJ} c^I c^J = 0.$$

This means that there is, actually, a unique total Chern–Simons three–form appearing in the theory, given by

$$\omega_{YM} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{YM}} \alpha_k \omega_{YM}(A^k),$$

and the generalized curvatures become then

$$H^I = dB^I + \tilde{C}^I + c^I \omega_{YM}.$$

Choosing for c^I a standard representative, for example $c^I = (1, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$, it is easily seen that there is only *one* two–form which carries a Chern–Simons correction, i.e. $B^0 + B^n$, while all the others, i.e. $B^0 - B^n$ and B^I with $1 \leq I \leq n - 1$, are gauge invariant. In the case of one tensor multiplet ($n = 1$) this means that you can form a two–form with closed field strength and one whose curvature carries a Chern–Simons correction, the two field strengths being related on–shell by Hodge duality. It is indeed known, see e.g. [28],[24], that for $n = 1$ only under these circumstances you can construct a local, gauge invariant, and supersymmetric theory.

If (6.4) is not satisfied one can interpret $\delta_{YM} S_0$ as a ”classical” gauge anomaly which, as observed above, would then also have a supersymmetric partner [29]. For an appropriate content of fields it can then happen that these ”classical” anomalies are cancelled by the one loop quantum ABBJ anomalies through a generalized Green–Schwarz mechanism which involves all the B^I fields [27], since (6.2) has precisely the structure of the Green–Schwarz counterterm. In this case, however, since supersymmetry and gauge invariance require also the inclusion of one–loop quantum corrections, it is no longer meaningful to search for a *local* invariant action (see also the discussion in [28]).

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to I. Bandos, P. Pasti and D. Sorokin for their interest in this work and useful discussions. This work was supported by the European Commission TMR programme ERBFMPX-CT96-0045 to which K.L. and M.T. are associated.

7 Appendix

In this appendix we give some details on our notations and conventions and report the explicit expression for the four forms R^I .

We write the six-dimensional symplectic Majorana-Weyl spinors as $\psi_{\alpha i}$ (left-handed) and $\psi^{\alpha i}$ (right-handed) where $i = 1, 2$ is an $USp(1)$ index which can be raised and lowered with the invariant antisymmetric tensor ε_{ij}

$$\psi_i = \varepsilon_{ij}\psi^j, \quad \psi^i = \varepsilon^{ji}\psi_j, \quad (7.1)$$

while $\alpha = 1, \dots, 4$ is a chiral $SO(1, 5)$ spinor index which cannot be raised or lowered. The symplectic Majorana-Weyl condition reads

$$\varepsilon^{ij}\psi^{\alpha j} = O^{\alpha\beta}\psi^{\star\beta i} \quad (7.2)$$

where the matrix O satisfies

$$O^T = -O, \quad O^\star = O, \quad O^2 = -1. \quad (7.3)$$

The 4×4 matrices $(\Gamma^a)_{\alpha\beta}$ and $(\Gamma^a)^{\alpha\beta}$ span a Weyl-algebra, $(\Gamma_{(a})_{\alpha\beta}(\Gamma_{b)})^{\beta\gamma} = \eta_{ab}\delta_\alpha^\gamma$, and satisfy the hermiticity condition

$$O\Gamma^{a\dagger}O = \Gamma^a. \quad (7.4)$$

Since our formalism is manifestly $USp(1)$ invariant the relations (7.2)–(7.4) need, however, never be used explicitly.

The duality relations for the anti-symmetrized Γ -matrices is

$$(\Gamma_{a_1\dots a_k})_{\alpha\beta} = -(-1)^{k(k+1)/2} \frac{1}{(6-k)!} \varepsilon_{a_1\dots a_6} (\Gamma^{a_{k+1}\dots a_6})_{\alpha\beta} \quad (7.5)$$

where no " γ_7 " appears since our Γ -matrices are 4×4 Weyl matrices. The cyclic identity reads

$$(\Gamma^a)_{\alpha(\beta}(\Gamma_a)_{\gamma)\delta} = 0, \quad (7.6)$$

and another fundamental identity is

$$(\Gamma_a)_{\alpha\beta}(\Gamma^a)^{\gamma\delta} = -4\delta_{[\alpha}^\gamma\delta_{\beta]}^\delta. \quad (7.7)$$

The explicit expression for the four-forms $R^I = \hat{d}C^I$, appearing in the variation (5.35), is

$$R^I = -\frac{1}{2}e^{a_1}e^{a_2}(e^i\Gamma^{a_3}e_i)K_{a_1a_2a_3}^I \quad (7.8)$$

$$+ \left[e^{a_1}e^{a_2}e^{a_3}e_i\Gamma_{a_1}T_{a_2a_3}^i + \frac{3}{8}e^{a_1}e^{a_2}e^{a_3}e^i\Gamma_{a_1a_2}\lambda_{i\bar{m}}V_{\bar{a}}^m D_{a_3}\phi^\alpha \right. \quad (7.9)$$

$$\left. - \frac{1}{48}\varepsilon_{a_1\dots a_6}e^{a_1}e^{a_2}e^{a_3}e^i\Gamma^{a_4a_5}\lambda_{i\bar{m}}V_{\bar{a}}^m D^{a_6}\phi^\alpha - \frac{1}{8}e^{a_1}e^{a_2}e^{a_3}(e^i\Gamma^{a_1}{}_b\lambda_{i\bar{m}})K_{ba_2a_3}^m \right] \quad (7.10)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4}e^i\lambda_{i\bar{m}}K^{\bar{m}} + 2e^{a_1}e^{a_2}e^{a_3}e^{a_4}D_{a_1}\lambda_{a_2a_3a_4} \Big] L^I + \quad (7.11)$$

$$+ \left[-\frac{1}{2}e^{a_1}e^{a_2}e^{a_3}e^i\Gamma_{a_1a_2}D_{a_3}\lambda_i^{\bar{m}} + \frac{1}{2}e^{a_1}e^{a_2}T^i\Gamma_{a_1a_2}\lambda_i^{\bar{m}} \right. \quad (7.12)$$

$$\left. + 2e^{a_1}e^{a_2}e^{a_3}V_{\bar{a}}^m D\phi^\alpha\lambda_{a_1a_2a_3} \right] L_{\bar{m}}^I, \quad (7.13)$$

where $\lambda_{abc} \equiv -\frac{1}{96}\lambda_{\bar{m}}^i(\Gamma_{abc})\lambda_i^{\bar{m}}$.

References

- [1] N. Marcus and J.H. Schwarz, Phys. Lett. **B115** (1982) 111.
- [2] R. Floreanini and R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59** (1987) 1873;
M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, Phys. Lett. **B206** (1988) 650.
- [3] M. Perry and J.H. Schwarz, Nucl. Phys. **B489** (1997) 47;
J.H. Schwarz, Phys. Lett. **B395** (1997) 191.
- [4] B. McClain, Y.S. Wu and F. Yu, Nucl. Phys. **B343** (1990) 689;
C. Wotzasek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66** (1991) 129;
I. Martin and A. Restuccia, Phys. Lett. **B323** (1994) 311;
F.P. Devecchi and M. Henneaux, Phys. Rev. **D45** (1996) 1606;
L.D. Faddeev and S. Shatashvili, Phys. Lett. **B167** (1986) 225;
N. Berkovits, Phys. Lett. **B388** (1996) 743;
I. Bengtsson and A. Kleppe, *On chiral p -forms*, hep-th/9609102.
- [5] W. Siegel, Nucl. Phys. **B238** (1984) 307.
- [6] P. Pasti, D. Sorokin and M. Tonin, Phys. Rev. **D55** (1997) 6292.
- [7] P. Pasti, D. Sorokin and M. Tonin, Phys. Lett. **B398** (1997) 41.
- [8] I. Bandos, K. Lechner, A. Nurmagambetov, P. Pasti, D. Sorokin and M. Tonin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78** (1997) 4332.
- [9] P. Pasti, D. Sorokin and M. Tonin, Phys. Lett. **B489** (1995) 59.
- [10] P. Pasti, D. Sorokin and M. Tonin, Phys. Rev. **D52R** (1995) 4277.
- [11] N. Berkovits and R. Medina, *Pasti-Sorokin-Tonin Actions in presence of sources*, hep-th/9704093.
- [12] J.H. Schwarz and A. Sen, Nucl. Phys. **B411** (1994) 35.
- [13] S. Cherkis and J.H. Schwarz, *Wrapping the M -theory five-brane on K_3* , hep-th/9703062.
- [14] M. Aganagic, J. Park, C. Popescu and J.H. schwarz, Nucl. Phys. **B496** (1997) 191.
- [15] P.S. Howe and E. Sezgin, Phys. Lett. **B390** (1997) 133; Phys. Lett. **B394** (1997) 62;
P.S. Howe, E. Sezgin and P.C. West hep-th/9702008; hep-th/9702111.

- [16] I. Bandos, K. Lechner, A. Nurmagambetov, P. Pasti, D. Sorokin and M. Tonin, hep-th/9703127.
- [17] G. Dall' Agata, K. Lechner and D. Sorokin, *Covariant actions for the bosonic sector of $D = 10$ IIB supergravity*, hep-th/9707044.
- [18] P. Pasti, D. Sorokin and M. Tonin, in *Leuven Notes in Mathematical and Theoretical Physics* (Leuven University Press) Series **BV6** (1996) 167, hep-th/9509053;
- [19] H. Nishino and E. Sezgin, Nucl. Phys. **B278** (1986) 353;
L.J. Romans, Nucl. Phys. **B269**, (1986) 691; Nucl. Phys. **B276** (1986) 71.
- [20] A. Sen, Phys. Rev. **D53** (1996) 6725.
- [21] R. D'Auria, P. Fré and T. Regge, Phys. Lett. **B128** (1983) 44;
- [22] A.R. Kavalov and R. L. Mkrtychyan, Nucl. Phys. **B331** (1990) 391.
- [23] E. Sokatchev, Class. Quant. Grav. **5** (1988) 1459.
- [24] G. Dall' Agata and K. Lechner, *$N = 1, D = 6$ Supergravity: Duality and non Minimal Couplings*, hep-th/9707236.
- [25] J.H. Schwarz, Nucl. Phys. **B226** (1983) 269;
P.S. Howe and P. West, Nucl. Phys. **B238** (1984) 181.
- [26] L. Castellani and I. Pesando, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A8** (1993) 1125.
- [27] A. Sagnotti, Phys. Lett. **B294** (1992) 196.
- [28] H. Nishino and E. Sezgin, *New Couplings of Six-Dimensional Supergravity*, hep-th/9703075.
- [29] L. Bonora, P. Pasti and M. Tonin, Nucl. Phys. **B286** (1987) 150.